

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/019,773	SCHWALBE, PONTUS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Christopher Upton	1724	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher Upton.

(2) Ralph Dowell.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31

Prior art documents discussed:

Prior art of record


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**CHRISTOPHER UPTON
PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: IT was agreed to amend claim 31 to remove the indefinite "may include" language and to incorporate the recitation of the biostep filter functioning to prevent organic material from growing on the sorbent filter, as in paragraph D of claim 29, to patentably distinguish over the prior art of record..